<u>DRAFT</u> Barriers to Consolidation Conference Call March 22, 2004 Governor's Office 12:30 PM

Participants: John McNeil, Joan Anderson (subbing for Bud Williams), Tonia Bloom, Scott Seilstad, Kris Goss

Technical Issues Related to Consolidation That May Serve as Barriers

1. Lack of clarity in law with regards to consolidation of K-12 districts

Needed Clarifications in the Law: K-12's combining: Tonia, Debra Silk and OPI will examine and report.

2. Lack of clarity in law about appointment of new school board for high school or K-12 consolidations

District Governance after Consolidation Occurs: Need to have joint board with full power immediately after vote occurs. Needs to be limited to one year. Complete Replacement of appointment process.

3. Lack of clarity in law about effective date of dissolution of existing districts and formation of new consolidated district

Dissolution of existing districts: July 1st

4. Lack of clarity in law with regards to the duties and responsibilities of new and old boards of trustees during transition period

New Boards/Old Boards: Old Boards stay until July 1st to finish fiscal year. New Board begins work right away on business resulting for new board. Joan will check current duties and apply them to OB and NB

5. Lack of clarity in law about the status of building reserve and technology levies in the event of a consolidation

Building Reserves, tuitions, and Technology Levies: Belong to new district. Enlarged district absorbs debt of both districts.

6. Lack of clarity in law about tenure and bargaining status of successor district

Bargained agreements: Examine successful consolidation efforts. Scott will contact MTSBA to organize meeting of the staff attorneys at MTSBA and MEA-MFT. Tenure rights a part of that discussion.

7. Clarification is needed that a non-operating district may only combine with another contiguous operating district

Combination of Non-operating district: Must be with an adjacent/contiguous and operating district

Possible Barriers to Consolidation Resulting from Current Structures of Governance, Taxation and Funding

1. Is the existence of two separate processes for combining districts (annexation and consolidation) a barrier?

Must continue to offer annexation and consolidation

2. Is the option of consolidating or annexing with or without assumption of bonded indebtedness a barrier?

Bonded Indebtedness: No "Pre-nuptial agreement" – assume the debt of both districts in both annexation and consolidation

3. Is the requirement for a vote a barrier to consolidation?

Require a vote: A public vote is necessary

4. Would it be a good idea to allow a period of time during which "deconsolidation" could occur?

Deconsolidation: no. separation of co-mingled items would be difficult.

5. Does the phasing out of two basic entitlements over 6 years for a consolidated district work against consolidation?

Phase out of Basic Entitlements over 6 years: Retain phase out.

6. Does the current school funding structure (especially the basic entitlement) constitute a disincentive for districts to combine?

Does current school funding system constitute a disincentive: Yes, no specific idea to remedy for basic entitlement.

7. Would creating a joint board for a period of time for consolidated or annexed districts encourage combining?

Joint Boards: Yes Need to have joint board with full power immediately after vote occurs. Needs to be limited to one year. Complete Replacement of appointment process.

8. Would it be advisable to allow an independent elementary district to combine with a neighboring K-12 district?

Elementary Joining K-12: rare occurrence

9. Do the tax base inequities between districts create a barrier to consolidation and annexation?

Tax Base in equities: Other working Group

10. Next Steps

Conference call on April 19, 2004, tentatively Bargained Contracts Meeting MTSBA/MEA-MFT Joan Anderson, OPI review duties of trustees